arXiv:1501.04802v1 [math.RT] 20 Jan 2015

Weyl modules associated to Kac-Moody Lie algebras

S. Eswara Rao, V. Futorny and Sachin S. Sharma

Abstract

Weyl modules were originally defined for affine Lie algebras by Chari and Pressley in [4]. In this paper we extend the notion of Weyl modules for a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \otimes A$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is any Kac-Moody algebra and A is any finitely generated commutative associative algebra with unit over $\mathbb{C}$, and prove a tensor product decomposition theorem generalizing [4].

1 Introduction

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Kac-Moody Lie algebra and let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Set $\mathfrak{g}' = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ and $\mathfrak{h}' = \mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{h}$. Let $\mathfrak{h}''$ be a vector subspace of $\mathfrak{h}$ such that $\mathfrak{h}' \oplus \mathfrak{h}'' = \mathfrak{h}$. Let A be a finitely generated commutative associative algebra with unit over $\mathbb{C}$. Denote $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}' \otimes A \oplus \mathfrak{h}''$ and let $\mathfrak{g} = N^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus N^+$ be a standard triangular decomposition into positive and negative root subspaces and a Cartan subalgebra. Let $\tilde{N}^{-} = N^{-} \otimes A$, $\tilde{N}^{+} = N^{+} \otimes A$ and $\overset{\sim}{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h}' \otimes A \oplus \mathfrak{h}''$. Consider a linear map $\psi : \overset{\sim}{\mathfrak{h}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

In [4] Chari and Pressley defined the Weyl modules for the loop algebras, which are nothing but the maximal integrable highest weight modules. Feigin and Loktev [8] generalized the notion of Weyl module by replacing Laurent polynomial ring by any commutative associative algebra with unit and generalized the tensor decomposition theorem of [4]. Chari and Thang [2] studied Weyl modules for double affine Lie algebra. In [1], a functorial approach used to study Weyl modules associated with the Lie algebra $\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes A$, where $\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{g}}$ is finite dimensional simple Lie algebra and A is a commutative algebra with unit over $\mathbb{C}$. Using this approach they [1] defined a Weyl functor from category commutative associative algebra modules to simple Lie algebra modules, and studied tensor product properties of this functor. Neher and Savage [13] using generalized evaluation representation discussed more general case by replacing finite dimensional simple algebra with an infinite dimensional Lie algebra.

Let $\tau = \overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes A_n \oplus \Omega_{A_n}/dA_n$ be a toroidal algebra, where $\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{g}}$ is finite dimensional simple Lie algebra and $A_n = \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm}, \cdots, t_n^{\pm}]$ is a Laurent polynomial ring in commutating n variables(see [5]). It is proved in [6] that any irreducible module with finite dimensional weight spaces of τ is in fact a module for

$\mathfrak{g} \otimes A_{n-1}$ where $\mathfrak{g}$ is affinization of $\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{g}}$. Thus it is important to study $\mathfrak{g} \otimes A_{n-1}$-modules. Rao and Futorny [7] initiated the study of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes A_{n-1}$-modules in their recent work. In our paper we consider the $\mathfrak{g} \otimes A$-module, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is any Kac-Moody Lie algebra and A is any finitely generated commutative associative algebra with unit over $\mathbb{C}$. Our work is kind of generalization of the tensor product results in [1, 8, 4]. For a cofinite ideal I of A we define a module $M(\psi, I)$, and a Weyl module $W(\psi, I)$ of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ (Section 4). The main result of the paper is the tensor product decomposition of $W(\psi, I)$, where I is a finite intesection of maximal ideals.

The paper is organised as follows. We begin with preliminaries by stating some basic facts about Kac-Moody algebras and Weyl modules. In Section 3 we define the modules $M(\psi, I)$ over $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ and show that they have finite dimensional weight spaces and prove tensor decomposition theorem for them. Section 4 is devoted to the tensor decomposition theorem for the Weyl module $W(\psi, I)$ over $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$.

2 PRELIMINARIES

Let $\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{g}}$ be a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra of rank r with a Cartan subalgebra $\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{h}}$. Let $\overset{\circ}{\Delta}$ denote a root system of $\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{g}}$ with respect to $\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{h}}$. Let $\overset{\circ}{\Delta}{}^{+}$ and $\overset{\circ}{\Delta}{}^{-}$ be a sets of positive and negative roots of $\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{g}}$ respectively. Denote by

$\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_r$ and $\alpha_1^\vee, \cdots, \alpha_r^\vee$ a sets of simple roots and simple coroots of $\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{g}}$. Let $\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{g}} = \overset{\circ}{n^+} \oplus \overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \overset{\circ}{n^-}$ be a triangular decomposition of $\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{g}}$. Let e_i and f_i be the Chevalley generators of $\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{g}}$. Let $\overset{\circ}{Q} = \oplus \mathbb{Z}\,\alpha_i$ and $\overset{\circ}{P} = \{\lambda \in \overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{h}}^* : \lambda(\alpha_i^\vee) \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be the root and weight lattice of $\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{g}}$ respectively. Set $\overset{\circ}{P}_+ = \{\lambda \in \overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{h}}^* : \lambda(\alpha_i^\vee) \geq 0\}$, the set of dominant integral weights of $\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Recall that a $\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{g}}$-module V is said to be integrable if it is $\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{h}}$-diagonalisable and all the Chevalley generators e_i and f_i, $1 \leq i \leq r$, act locally nilpotently on V. For commutative associative algebra with unit A, consider the Lie algebra algebra $\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes A$. We recall the definition of local Weyl module for $\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes A$ [8, 1].

Definition 2.1. Let $\psi : \overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{h}} \otimes A \to \mathbb{C}$ be a linear map such that $\psi\,|_{\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{h}}} = \lambda$, I a cofinite ideal of A. Then $W(\psi, I)$ is called a local Weyl module for $\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes A$ if there exists a nonzero $v \in W(\psi, I)$ such that

$U(\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes A)v = W(\psi, I), (\overset{\circ}{n^+} \otimes A)v = 0, (h \otimes 1)v = \lambda(h)v$

$\psi\,|_{\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{h}} \otimes I} = 0, (f_i \otimes 1)^{\lambda(\alpha_i^\vee)+1}v = 0$, for $i = 1, \cdots, r$.

It is shown in [8](Proposition 4) that the local Weyl modules exists and can be obtained as quotient of global Weyl module.

Let $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}' \otimes A \oplus \mathfrak{h}''$ is a Lie algebra with the following bracket operations:

$$[X \otimes a, Y \otimes b] = [X, Y] \otimes ab,$$

$$[h, X \otimes a] = [h, X] \otimes a,$$

$$[h, h'] = 0,$$

where $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}'$, $h, h' \in \mathfrak{h}''$ and $a, b \in A$. Let $\widetilde{\mathfrak{h}} := \mathfrak{h}' \otimes A \oplus \mathfrak{h}''$ and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \widetilde{N}^{+} \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \widetilde{N}^{-}$ be a triangular decomposition of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, where $\widetilde{N}^{+} = N^{+} \otimes A$ and $\widetilde{N}^{-} = N^{-} \otimes A$.

Let $\psi : \widetilde{\mathfrak{h}} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a linear map.

Definition 2.2. A module V of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is called highest weight module (of highest weight ψ) if V is generated by a highest weight vector v such that
(1) $\widetilde{N}^{+} \; v = 0$.
(2) $h \; v = \psi(h)v$ for $h \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{h}}, \psi \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{h}}^{*}$.

Let $\mathbb{C}$ be the one dimensional representation of $\widetilde{N}^{+} \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ where $\widetilde{N}^{+}$ acts trivially and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ acts via $h.1 = \psi(h)1$ for $\forall h \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{h}}$. Define the induced module

$$M(\psi) = U(\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \bigotimes_{U(\widetilde{N}^{+} \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{h}})} \mathbb{C}.$$

Then $M(\psi)$ is highest weight module and has a unique irreducible quotient denoted by $V(\psi)$.

3 The modules $M(\psi, I)$ and its tensor decomposition

Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l$ be a set of simple roots of $\mathfrak{g}$ and Δ^+ a set of corresponding positive roots. Let $Q = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$ be root lattice of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $Q_+ = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_i$. Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ be a dominant integral weight of $\mathfrak{g}$. Consider $\alpha \in \Delta^+$ and assume $\alpha = \sum n_i \alpha_i$. Define an usual ordering on Δ^+ by $\alpha \leq \beta$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta^+$ if $\beta - \alpha \in Q_+$.

Let I be a cofinite ideal of A. Let $\{I_\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta^+\}$ be a sequence of cofinite ideals of A such that $I_\alpha \subseteq I$ and

(1) $\alpha \leq \beta \Rightarrow I_\beta \subseteq I_\alpha$.

(2) $I_\alpha I_\beta \subseteq I_{\alpha+\beta}$ if $\alpha + \beta \in \Delta^+$.

For $\beta \in \Delta^+$ let $X_{-\beta}$ be a root vector corresponding to the root $-\beta$. For a cofinite ideal I of A set $X_{-\beta} I = X_{-\beta} \otimes I$.

Let $\psi : \tilde{\mathfrak{h}} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a linear map such that $\psi |_{\mathfrak{h}' \otimes I} = 0$, $\psi |_{\mathfrak{h}} = \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ and λ is dominant integral.

Definition 3.1. We will denote by $M(\psi, \{I_\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta^+\})$ the highest weight $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$-module with highest weight ψ and highest weight vector v such that $(X_{-\beta} I_\beta)v = 0$ for all $\beta \in \Delta^+$.

We will show now the existence of modules $M(\psi, \{I_\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta^+\})$. Let $M(\psi)$ be the Verma module with a highest weight ψ and a highest weight vector v. We will prove that the module generated by $X_{-\alpha}I_\alpha v$ is a proper submodule of $M(\psi)$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta_+$. We use induction on the height of α. First recall that there is a cofinite ideal I such that $\psi\mid_{\mathfrak{h}'\otimes I}= 0$ and by definition $I_\alpha \subseteq I$ and $I_\alpha \subseteq I_\beta$ if $\beta \le \alpha$.

Let us consider $X_{-\alpha_i}I_{\alpha_i}v$ for a simple root α_i. We will prove that $X_{-\alpha_i}av$ generates a proper submodule of $M(\psi)$ where $a \in I_{\alpha_i}$. Indeed we have $X_\alpha bX_{-\alpha_i}av = 0$ for any simple root $\alpha \neq \alpha_i$ and $b \in A$. Let N_i be the $\mathfrak{g}$-submodule generated by $X_{-\alpha_i}I_{\alpha_i}v$. Then $X_{\alpha_i}bX_{-\alpha_i}av = h_ibav = 0$ as $I_{\alpha_i} \subseteq I$ and $\psi\mid_{\mathfrak{h}'\otimes I}= 0$. So $M(\psi)/N_i \neq 0$ and the induction starts.

Let $\beta \in \Delta_+$ and $\mathrm{ht}(\beta) = n$. Let N be the submodule generated by $\sum_{\nu\in\Delta_+} X_{-\nu}I_\nu v$ where $\mathrm{ht}\nu < n$. Then by induction, N is a proper submodule of $M(\psi)$. Now consider $X_{\alpha_i}bX_{-\beta}av$ where α_i is a simple root, $b \in A$ and $a \in I_\beta$. But $X_{\alpha_i}bX_{-\beta}av = X_{-\beta+\alpha_i}bav$. Since $\mathrm{ht}(\beta - \alpha_i) < n$ and I_β is an ideal of A, we have $ba \in I_\beta \subseteq I_{\beta-\alpha_i}$. Hence, we see that $X_{-\beta+\alpha_i}bav \in N$. Therefore, $X_{-\beta}av$ is a highest vector of $M(\psi)/N$, and hence generates a proper submodule.

Lemma 3.2. *Let* $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n, \beta \in \Delta^+$. *Then* $B = X_{-\beta}I_\beta^{n+1}X_{-\gamma_1}a_1 \dots X_{-\gamma_n}a_nv = 0$*, for* $a_1, \dots a_n \in A$ *and each* $\gamma_i \le \beta$.

Proof. We prove the statement by induction on n. For $n = 0$ the lemma follows from the definition of the module. We have

$$B = X_{-\gamma_1}a_1X_{-\beta}I_\beta^{n+1}X_{-\gamma_2}a_2 \dots X_{-\gamma_n}a_nv+[X_{-\beta}, X_{-\gamma_1}]I_\beta^{n+1}X_{-\gamma_2}a_2 \dots X_{-\gamma_n}a_nv\,.$$

The first term is zero by induction on n. Repeating the same argument n times for the second term we end up with:

$B = [\dots[X_{-\beta}, X_{-\gamma_1}], X_{-\gamma_2}], \dots, X_{-\gamma_n}]I_{\beta}^{n+1}v$. Assume

$$[\dots[X_{-\beta}, X_{-\gamma_1}], X_{-\gamma_2}], \dots, X_{-\gamma_n}] \neq 0.$$

Then it is a nonzero multiple of $X_{-(\beta+\sum\gamma_i)}$ and $\beta + \sum\gamma_i$ is a root. As each $\gamma_i \leq \beta$ we have $I_\beta \subseteq I_{\gamma_i}$.

Thus

$$I_{\beta}^{n+1} \subseteq I_\beta I_{\gamma_1} I_{\gamma_2} \dots I_{\gamma_n} \subseteq I_{\beta+\sum\gamma_i}.$$

Since

$$X_{-(\beta+\sum\gamma_i)} I_{\beta+\sum\gamma_i} v = 0,$$

it completes the proof of the lemma. □

Proposition 3.3. *$M(\psi, \{I_\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta^+\})$ has finite dimensional weight spaces with respect to $\mathfrak{h}$.*

Proof. Follows from Lemma 3.2 . □

We now construct a special sequence of cofinite ideals $I_\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta^+$. Let I be any cofinite ideal of A. Let $\psi : \overset{\sim}{\mathfrak{h}} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a linear map such that $\psi \mid_{\mathfrak{h}' \otimes I = 0}$ and $\psi \mid_{\mathfrak{h}} = \gamma$ a dominant integral weight. Let recall that for $\alpha \in \Delta_+$ with $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{l} m_i \alpha_i$, define $N_{\lambda,\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^{l} m_i \lambda(\alpha_i^\vee)$.

Let $I_\alpha = I^{N_{\lambda,\alpha}}$. Now if $\alpha \leq \beta$ then it implies that , $N_{\lambda,\alpha} \leq N_{\lambda,\beta}$ and hence $I_\beta \subseteq I_\alpha$. Suppose $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta^+$ such that $\alpha+\beta \in \Delta^+$. Then clearly $I_\alpha I_\beta = I_{\alpha+\beta}$. For this special sequence of ideals I_α, define $M(\psi, I) := M(\psi, \{I_\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta^+\})$.

Let I and J be coprime cofinite ideals of A. Consider linear maps $\psi_1, \psi_2 : \widetilde{\mathfrak{h}} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ such that $\psi_1 \mid_{\mathfrak{h}' \otimes I} = 0$, $\psi_2 \mid_{\mathfrak{h}' \otimes J} = 0$, $\psi \mid_{\mathfrak{h}} = \lambda$ and $\psi_2 \mid_{\mathfrak{h}} = \mu$. Further assume that λ and μ are dominant integral weights. Let $M(\psi_1, I)$ and $M(\psi_2, J)$ be the corresponding highest weight modules. Now define the following new sequence of cofinite ideals of A. Let $K_\alpha = I^{N_{\lambda,\alpha}} \cap J^{N_{\mu,\alpha}} \subseteq I \cap J$. It is easy to check that:

(1) If $\alpha \leq \beta, \ \alpha, \beta \in \Delta^+$ then $K_\beta \subseteq K_\alpha$.

(2) $K_\alpha \ K_\beta \subseteq K_{\alpha+\beta}$ if $\alpha, \beta, \alpha+\beta \in \Delta^+$.

Let $\psi = \psi_1 + \psi_2$, so that $\psi \mid_{\mathfrak{h}' \otimes (I \cap J)} = 0$, $\psi \mid_{\mathfrak{h}} = \lambda + \mu$. Then we have

Theorem 3.4. *As a $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$-module*

$$M(\psi_1 + \psi_2, \{K_\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta^+\}) \cong M(\psi, I) \otimes M(\psi_2, J).$$

The following is standard but we include the proof for convenience of the reader.

Lemma 3.5. *Let I and J be the coprime cofinite ideals of A. Then*

a) $A = I^n + J^m$, for all $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.

b) $A/(I^n \cap J^m) \cong A/I^n \oplus A/J^m$.

Proof. $a)$ As I and J are coprime there exist $f \in I$ and $g \in J$ such that $f + g = 1$. Considering the expression $(f+g)^{m+n+1} = 1$, we see that the left hand side is the sum of two elements of I^m and I^n. $b)$ is clear from $a)$ and the Chinese reminder theorem. ☐

Assume

$$\begin{aligned} \dim A/I^{N_{\lambda,\alpha}} &= m_\alpha \\ \dim A/J^{N_{\mu,\alpha}} &= n_\alpha \\ \dim A/I^{N_{\lambda,\alpha}} \cap J^{N_{\mu,\alpha}} &= k_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

then

$$m_\alpha + n_\alpha = k_\alpha$$

by the above lemma.

Proof of Theorem 3.4.

Let $a_{1,\alpha}, \ldots, a_{m_\alpha,\alpha}$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-basis of $A/I^{N_{\lambda,\alpha}}$. Let $a_\alpha^1, a_\alpha^2, \ldots,$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-basis of $I^{N_{\lambda,\alpha}}$. Then clearly $\widetilde{N}^-$ has the following $\mathbb{C}$-basis:

$$\begin{aligned} &\{X_{-\alpha} a_{i,\alpha}, 1 \le i \le m_\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta^+\} \cup \\ &\{X_{-\alpha} a_\alpha^i, i \in \mathbb{N},\ \alpha \in \Delta^+\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $U_\lambda,\ U^\lambda$ be the subspaces of $U(\widetilde{N}^-)$ spanned by the ordered products of the first set and the second set respectively. Then by the PBW theorem we have $U(\widetilde{N}^-) = U_\lambda U^\lambda$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} M &= M(\psi_1+\psi_2,\ \{K_\alpha, \alpha\in\Delta^+\}), \\ M_1 &= M(\psi_1, I), \\ M_2 &= M(\psi_2, J). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $M_1 = U_\lambda U^\lambda v = U_\lambda v$ as $U^\lambda v = \mathbb{C}\, v$. Since M_1 has finite dimensional weight subspaces we can define the character of M_1 as follows:

$$\mathrm{Ch}\, M_1 = \sum_{\eta\in Q^+} \dim M_{1,\ \lambda-\eta}\, e^{-(\lambda-\eta)}.$$

Let l_α denote the multiplicity of the root α.

It is standard that

$\dim M_{1,\lambda-n} = K^1_\eta$, where K^1_η is given by

$$\prod_{\alpha\in\Delta^+}(1-e^{-\alpha)^{-m_\alpha l_\alpha}} = \sum_{\eta\in Q^+} K^1_\eta e^{-\eta}.$$

Also we have that $\dim M_{2,\mu-\eta} = K^2_\eta$, where

$$\prod_{\alpha\in\Delta^+}(1-e^{-\alpha})^{-n_\alpha l_\alpha} = \sum_{\eta\in Q^+} K^2_\eta e^{-\eta},$$

and $\dim M_{\lambda+\mu-\eta} = K_\eta$, where K_η is given by

$$\prod_{\alpha\in\Delta^+}(1-e^{-\alpha})^{-k_\alpha\ l_\alpha} = \sum_{\eta\in Q^+} K_\eta e^{-\eta}$$

(recall that $k_\alpha = m_\alpha + n_\alpha$).

From the above calculations we see that

$$\dim\ M_{\lambda+\mu-\eta} = \dim(M_1\otimes M_2)_{\lambda+\mu-\eta}.$$

Thus to prove the theorem it is sufficient to show that there is a surjective $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$-homomorphism from M to $M_1 \otimes M_2$.

Let v_1 and v_2 be the highest weight vectors of M_1 and M_2 respectively. Let U be $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ submodule of $M_1 \otimes M_2$ generated by $v_1 \otimes v_2$. It is easy to check that $(\psi_1 + \psi_2)(h' \otimes (I \cap J)) = 0$. Recall that $K_\alpha = I^{N_{\lambda,\alpha}} \cap J^{N_{\mu,\alpha}}$. We have $X_{-\alpha} K_\alpha (v_1 \otimes v_2) = 0$ which immediately implies that U is a quotient of M. Hence to complete the proof of the theorem it is sufficient to prove that $U \simeq M_1 \otimes M_2$.

Clearly, $M_1 \otimes M_2$ is linear span of vectors of the form $w_1 \otimes w_2$ where

$$w_1 = X_{-\lambda_1} a_1 \dots X_{-\lambda_n} a_n v,$$

$$w_2 = X_{-\beta_1} b_1 \dots X_{-\beta_m} b_m v_2\,.$$

Let $\beta \in \Delta^+$. By the definition of I_α and the argument given in the proof of Lemma 3.2 it is easy to see that there exists $N >> 0$ such that

$$(a) \qquad X_{-\beta} I^N w_1 = 0,\ X_{-\beta} J^N w_2 = 0.$$

Now recall that $A = I^N + J^N$. Let $1 = f + g,\ f \in I^N,\ g \in J^N$. For any $h \in A$ write $h = fh + gh$. We will use induction on $m + n$. Consider

$$X_{-\beta} fh (w_1 \otimes w_2) = w_1 \otimes X_{-\beta} fh\ w_2\ (by\ (a))$$

$$= w_1 \otimes X_{-\beta}(h - gh) w_2$$

$$= w_1 \otimes X_{-\beta} h\ w_2\ by\ (by\ (a)).$$

As $X_{-\beta}\ fh(w_1 \otimes w_2) \in U$ (by induction $w_1 \otimes w_2 \in U$), we conclude that $w_1 \otimes X_{-\beta}h\ w_2 \in U$. Similarly we have $X_{-\beta}hw_1 \otimes\ w_2 \in U$. It easily follows now that $U \simeq M_1 \otimes M_2$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

4 Weyl modules for loop Kac-Moody algebras and its tensor decomposition

In this section we define maximal integrable highest weight modules for $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ and prove a tensor product theorem for them.

Recall that $M(\psi, I)$ is a highest weight module with a highest weight ψ and a highest weight vector v. Further $\psi\mid_{\mathfrak{h}'\otimes I}= 0$ and $\psi\mid_{\mathfrak{h}}= \lambda$ a dominant integral weight. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l$ be simple roots and $\alpha_1^\vee, \dots \alpha_l^\vee$ be the simple coroots. Let $\{X_{\alpha_i}, \alpha_i^\vee, X_{-\alpha_i}\}$ be an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ copy corresponding to the simple root α_i.

Definition 4.1. Let W be a highest weight $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$-module with a highest weight ψ and a highest weight vector v such that

(1) $\psi\mid_{\mathfrak{h}'\otimes I}= 0$,

(2) $\psi\mid_{\mathfrak{h}}= \lambda$,

(3) $X_{-\alpha_i}^{\lambda(\alpha_i^\vee)+1}v = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$.

It follows immediately that W is an integrable $\mathfrak{g}$-module (see [11]). We will prove below that such module exists and has finite dimensional weight spaces.

By the result of [8] (see the proof of Proposition 6 and 16 of [8]) it follows that

$$X_{-\alpha_i} I^{\lambda(\alpha_i^\vee)} v = 0.$$

Let $\alpha \in \Delta^+$ and $X_{-\alpha} = [X_{-\alpha_{i_1}}, [\ldots [X_{-\alpha_{i_{n-1}}}, X_{-\alpha_{i_n}}]]]$, where $\sum \alpha_{i_j} = \alpha$. It is easy to check that $X_{-\alpha} I^{N_{\lambda,\alpha}} v = 0$. Recall that $N_{\lambda,\alpha} = \sum n_i \lambda(\alpha_i^\vee)$ if $\alpha = \sum n_i \alpha_i$.

Thus W is an integrable quotient of $M(\psi, I)$. Denote by $W(\psi, I)$ the maximal such quotient of $M(\psi, I)$ in the sense that any integrable quotient of $M(\psi, I)$ is a quotient of $W(\psi, I)$. In particular, $W(\psi, I)$ has finite dimensional weight spaces. We will prove at the end that for a cofinite ideal I which is finite intersection of maximal ideals, $W(\psi, I)$ is non-zero by explicitly constructing its irreducible quotient. We will call $W(\psi, I)$ the *Weyl module* associated with ψ and I. Let I and J be coprime finite ideals of A.

Theorem 4.2. *$W(\psi_1 + \psi_2,\ I \cap J) \cong W(\psi, I) \otimes W(\psi, J)$ as $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules.*

To prove above theorem we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *$W(\psi_1, I) \otimes W(\psi_2, J)$ is a quotient of $W(\psi_1 + \psi_2,\ I \cap J)$.*

Proof. Let v_1, v_2 be highest weight vectors of $W(\psi_1, I)$ and $W(\psi_2, J)$ respectively. As in the earlier argument we can prove that $W(\psi_1, I) \otimes W(\psi_2, J)$ is a cyclic module generated by $v_1 \otimes v_2$. Recall that $W(\psi_1 + \psi_2,\ I \cap J)$ is a maximal integrable quotient of $M(\psi_1+\psi_2, I\cap J)$. But $W(\psi_1, I)\otimes W(\psi_2, J)$ is a integrable quotient of $M(\psi_1+\psi_2, I\cap J)$. Hence $W(\psi_1, I)\otimes W(\psi_2, J)$ is a quotient of $W(\psi_1+\psi_2, I\cap J)$. $\square$

Proof of the Theorem 4.2: In view of the above lemma, it is sufficient to prove that $W(\psi_1+\psi_2, I\cap J)$ is a quotient of $W(\psi_1, I)\otimes W(\psi_2, J)$. Let K_i be the kernel of the map $M(\psi_i, I)\to W(\psi_i, I)$, $i=1,2$. Then it is a standard fact that $\tilde{K}=K_1\otimes M(\psi_2, J)+M(\psi_1, I)\otimes K_2$ is the kernel of the map

$$M(\psi_1, I)\otimes M(\psi_2, J)\to W(\psi_1, I)\otimes W(\psi_2, J).$$

Let V be any integrable quotient of $M(\psi_1, I)\otimes M(\psi_2, J)$ and K be the kernel of the map $M(\psi_1, I)\otimes M(\psi_2, J)\to V$.

Claim : $\tilde{K}\ \subseteq K$. This claim proves that V is a quotient of $W(\psi_1, I)\otimes W(\psi_2, J)$. In particular, $W(\psi_1+\psi_2, I\cap J)$ is a quotient of $W(\psi_1, I)\otimes W(\psi_2, J)$ which completes the proof of the theorem.

Proof of the claim : Since V is $\mathfrak{g}$-integrable, it follows that the set of weights of V is W- invariant and it is contained in $\lambda+\mu-Q^+$. Here Q^+ is a monoid generated by simple roots, W is the Weyl group corresponding to $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $m=(\lambda+\mu)(\alpha_i^\vee)+1$. Then $(X_{-\alpha_i}f)^m(v_1\otimes v_2)=0$ in V, $\forall\, f\in A$. Indeed, if this element is not zero then $\lambda+\mu-m\alpha_i$ is a weight of V implying that $\lambda+\mu+\alpha_i$ is also a weight by the W-invariance property of weights. But this is a contradiction. Let now $N=\max\{(\lambda+\mu)(\alpha_i^\vee)+1 : 1\le i\le l\}$. As

$I^N + J^N = A$ by Lemma 3.5, choose $f \in I^N$ and $g \in J^N$ such that $f + g = 1$.

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} B &= (X_{-\alpha_i} f)^N (v_1 \otimes v_2) \\ &= \sum_{k_1+k_2=N} C_i (X_{-\alpha_i} f)^{k_1} v_1 \otimes (X_{-\alpha_i} f)^{k_2} v_2 \in K, \end{aligned}$$

with some constants C_i. Since $(X_{-\alpha_i} f) v_1 = 0$, it follows that $B = v_1 \otimes (X_{-\alpha_i} f)^N v_2 \in K$ and $B = v_1 \otimes (X_{-\alpha_i}(1-g))^N v_2 \in K$. But $(X_{-\alpha_i} g) v_2 = 0$. Hence $v_1 \otimes X^N_{-\alpha_i} v_2 \in K$. Let n_0 be the least positive integer such that $v_1 \otimes X^{n_0}_{-\alpha_i} v_2 \in K$. But then

$$X_{\alpha_i}(v_1 \otimes X^{n_0}_{-\alpha_i} v_2) = v_1 \otimes X_{\alpha_i} X^{n_0}_{-\alpha_i} v_2 = (n_0(\gamma_i - n_0 + 1)) v_1 \otimes X^{n_0 - 1}_{-\alpha_i} v_2 \in K,$$

where $\gamma_i = \mu(\alpha_i^\vee)$. By the minimality of n_0 it follows that $\gamma_i + 1 = n_0$. Thus we have proved that $v_1 \otimes X^{\mu(\alpha_i^\vee)+1}_{-\alpha_i} v_2 \in K,\ \forall\, i$. Similarly we can prove that $X^{\lambda(\alpha_i^\vee)+1}_{-\alpha_i} v_1 \otimes v_2 \in K$. Now by earlier argument we can conclude that $\tilde{K} \subseteq K$. This completes the proof of the claim.

Corollary 4.4. *Let I be a cofinite ideal of A such that $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} \mathfrak{m}_i$, where $\mathfrak{m}_i$'s for $1 \le i \le k$ are distinct maximal ideals of A. Let $\psi_1, \cdots \psi_k$ be linear maps from $\widetilde{\mathfrak{h}} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $\psi_i \mid_{\mathfrak{h}' \otimes \mathfrak{m}_i} = 0$ and $\psi_i \mid_{\mathfrak{h}} = \lambda_i$ a dominant integral weight. Put $\psi = \sum_k \psi_i$ and $\lambda = \sum \lambda_i$. Then*

$$W(\psi, I) \cong \bigotimes_{i=1}^{k} W(\psi_i, \mathfrak{m}_i).$$

Remark: See [1], for the similar tensor decomposition theorem of the local Weyl module for $\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes A$, where A is any commutative associative algebra with unit.

Remark: Module $W(\psi, I)$ is $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$-integrable. In fact, $(X_{-\alpha_i}^{\lambda(\alpha_i^\vee)+1} \otimes f)v = 0$ for α_i simple and $f \in A$. Indeed, suppose it is non-zero. Then $\lambda - (\lambda(\alpha_i^\vee) + 1)\alpha_i$ is a weight of $W(\psi, I)$. Since the weight are W-invariant it follows that $\lambda + \alpha_i$ is a weight which is impossible.

We now construct irreducible quotients of Weyl modules hence proving their existence. Let I be a cofinite ideal of A such that $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^{p} \mathfrak{m}_i$, where $\mathfrak{m}_i$ are distinct maximal ideals of A. Now as A is finitely generated over $\mathbb{C}$, $A/\mathfrak{m}_i \cong \mathbb{C}$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$. So by Chinese reminder theorem, there is a surjective homomorphism from A to $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} A/\mathfrak{m}_i$. Hence we have have a surjective homomorphism $\Phi : \mathfrak{g}' \otimes A \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} \mathfrak{g}' \otimes A/\mathfrak{m}_i \cong \mathfrak{g}'_p = \mathfrak{g}' \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}'$(p-times) by $\Phi(x \otimes a) = (a_1 x, a_2 x, \cdots, a_p x)$, where $(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_p) \in \mathbb{C}^p$ is a image of a from the map $A \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} A/\mathfrak{m}_i \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^p$. Now for $1 \leq i \leq p$, let ψ_i be a linear map from $\widetilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ to $\mathbb{C}$ such that $\psi_i \mid \mathfrak{h} = \lambda_i$ where $\lambda_i \in P^+$. Then as $\bigotimes_{i=1}^{p} V(\lambda_i)$ is an irreducible integrable module for $\mathfrak{g}'_p$, it is also irreducible $\mathfrak{g}' \otimes A$-module via Φ and so for $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{h}''$ acts on tensor product via ψ) and the vector $v_{\lambda_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\lambda_p}$ and $\psi = \psi_1 + \cdots + \psi_p$ satisfy the the conditions of the definition 4.1 with $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^{p} \mathfrak{m}_i$.

Open Problem : Compute the character of $W(\psi, I)$ which is W-invariant.

By Corollary 4.4 it is sufficient to compute the character of $W(\psi_i, \mathfrak{m}_i)$, where $\mathfrak{m}_i$ is a maximal ideal.

References

[1] Vyjayanthi Chari, Ghislain Fourier, and Tanusree Khandai. A categorical approach to Weyl modules. *Transform. Groups*, 15(3):517–549, 2010.

[2] Vyjayanthi Chari and Thang Le. Representations of double affine Lie algebras. In *A tribute to C. S. Seshadri (Chennai, 2002)*, Trends Math., pages 199–219. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2003.

[3] Vyjayanthi Chari and Sergei Loktev. Weyl, Demazure and fusion modules for the current algebra of sl_{r+1}. *Adv. Math.*, 207(2):928–960, 2006.

[4] Vyjayanthi Chari and Andrew Pressley. Weyl modules for classical and quantum affine algebras. *Represent. Theory*, 5:191–223 (electronic), 2001.

[5] S. Eswara Rao. Classification of irreducible integrable modules for toroidal Lie algebras with finite dimensional weight spaces. *J. Algebra*, 277(1):318–348, 2004.

[6] S. Eswara Rao. Irreducible representations for toroidal Lie-algebras. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 202(1-3):102–117, 2005.

[7] S. Eswara Rao and Vyacheslav Futony. Representations of loop Kac-Moody Lie algebras. *Communications in Algebra*, 41(10):3775–3792, 2013.

[8] B. Feigin and S. Loktev. Multi-dimensional Weyl modules and symmetric functions. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 251(3):427–445, 2004.

[9] G. Fourier and P. Littelmann. Weyl modules, Demazure modules, KR-modules, crystals, fusion products and limit constructions. *Adv. Math.*, 211(2):566–593, 2007.

[10] James E. Humphreys. *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*, volume 9 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978. Second printing, revised.

[11] V. G. Kac. *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras*. Cambridge University Press, third edition, 1990.

[12] Michael Lau. Representations of multiloop algebras. *Pacific J. Math.*, 245(1):167–184, 2010.

[13] Erhard Neher and Alistair Savage. A survey of equivariant map algebras with open problems. In *Recent developments in algebraic and combina-*

torial aspects of representation theory, volume 602 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 165–182. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2013.

School of mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai 400005, India.
email: senapati@math.tifr.res.in

Instituto de Mathemática e Estatística, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brasil.
email: futorny@ime.usp.br

School of mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai 400005, India. email: sachin@math.tifr.res.in